**WEEKLY\_EXERCISE\_PEER\_REVIEW\_AND\_REFLECTION\_TEMPLATE**

STUDENT\_NAME: Kevin Hsu

STUDENT\_ID: s5390209

EXERCISE\_NUMBER: 1

**SECTION\_1**

Write your review for each peer.

**PEER\_REVIEW\_1**

REVIEWER\_NAME: Kevin Hsu  
REVIEWEE\_NAME: Cedric Alain Jadin

ATTEMPTED\_AND\_DEMONSTRATED

Guiding question: *Has your reviewee attempted and demonstrated the required exercises?*

ATTEMPTED\_AND\_DEMONSTRATED\_RESPONSE (Yes / No): Yes

CODE\_FUNCTIONALITY

Guiding question: *Describe what is working and what is not working in your peer’s code.*

CODE\_FUNCTIONALITY\_RESPONSE: All components including the navigation bar, interactive elements, and form validation perform as expected.

SUBMISSION\_QUALITY\_AND\_CODE\_READABILITY

Guiding question: *Describe the quality of your peer’s submission: What is done well? What could be improved? How readable and clean is the code?*

SUBMISSION\_QUALITY\_AND\_CODE\_READABILITY\_ RESPONSE: The code is clean, and the file structure is organized. I can easily read through his html file

DEMONSTRATION\_AND\_EXPLANATION\_QUALITY

Guiding question: *Describe whether the demonstration and explanation are clear and easy to follow. Did your peer show good understanding of their work?*

DEMONSTRATION\_AND\_EXPLANATION\_QUALITY\_RESPONSE: The explanation was thorough and easy to follow. He showed a strong understanding of the code functionality.

CONSTRUCTIVE\_COMMENTS

Guiding question: *Provide constructive suggestions to help your peer improve their work and professionalism. You may also include positive encouragement and note anything you learned.*

CONSTRUCTIVE\_COMMENTS\_RESPONSE: Overall is really good, maybe try some more advanced CSS styling.

**PEER\_REVIEW\_2**

REVIEWER\_NAME: Kevin Hsu  
REVIEWEE\_NAME: Savaliya Jay Sureshbhai

ATTEMPTED\_AND\_DEMONSTRATED

Guiding question: *Has your reviewee attempted and demonstrated the required exercises?*

ATTEMPTED\_AND\_DEMONSTRATED\_RESPONSE (Yes / No): Yes

CODE\_FUNCTIONALITY

Guiding question: *Describe what is working and what is not working in your peer’s code.*

CODE\_FUNCTIONALITY\_RESPONSE: The code works as intended across all tasks. All Bootstrap components are functioning smoothly.

SUBMISSION\_QUALITY\_AND\_CODE\_READABILITY

Guiding question: *Describe the quality of your peer’s submission: What is done well? What could be improved? How readable and clean is the code?*

SUBMISSION\_QUALITY\_AND\_CODE\_READABILITY\_ RESPONSE: The code is clean, well-indented, and easy to follow. HTML and CSS are used effectively, and the layout is logical.

DEMONSTRATION\_AND\_EXPLANATION\_QUALITY

Guiding question: *Describe whether the demonstration and explanation are clear and easy to follow. Did your peer show good understanding of their work?*

DEMONSTRATION\_AND\_EXPLANATION\_QUALITY\_RESPONSE: The explanation was clear and covered all key areas.

CONSTRUCTIVE\_COMMENTS

Guiding question: *Provide constructive suggestions to help your peer improve their work and professionalism. You may also include positive encouragement and note anything you learned.*

CONSTRUCTIVE\_COMMENTS\_RESPONSE: Well done. Maybe try a little customized design.

**PEER\_REVIEW\_3**

REVIEWER\_NAME: Kevin Hsu  
REVIEWEE\_NAME: Nicole Wang

ATTEMPTED\_AND\_DEMONSTRATED

Guiding question: *Has your reviewee attempted and demonstrated the required exercises?*

ATTEMPTED\_AND\_DEMONSTRATED\_RESPONSE (Yes / No): Yes

CODE\_FUNCTIONALITY

Guiding question: *Describe what is working and what is not working in your peer’s code.*

CODE\_FUNCTIONALITY\_RESPONSE: The code is fully functional, with all required tasks implemented correctly.

SUBMISSION\_QUALITY\_AND\_CODE\_READABILITY

Guiding question: *Describe the quality of your peer’s submission: What is done well? What could be improved? How readable and clean is the code?*

SUBMISSION\_QUALITY\_AND\_CODE\_READABILITY\_ RESPONSE: The code is neat and well-structured.

DEMONSTRATION\_AND\_EXPLANATION\_QUALITY

Guiding question: *Describe whether the demonstration and explanation are clear and easy to follow. Did your peer show good understanding of their work?*

DEMONSTRATION\_AND\_EXPLANATION\_QUALITY\_RESPONSE: Nicole’s explanation was clear and confident.

CONSTRUCTIVE\_COMMENTS

Guiding question: *Provide constructive suggestions to help your peer improve their work and professionalism. You may also include positive encouragement and note anything you learned.*

CONSTRUCTIVE\_COMMENTS\_RESPONSE: Good job! Maybe try grouping similar styles in CSS files for better maintainability.

**PEER\_REVIEW\_4**

REVIEWER\_NAME: Kevin Hsu  
REVIEWEE\_NAME: Rafat Rashid Rahi

ATTEMPTED\_AND\_DEMONSTRATED

Guiding question: *Has your reviewee attempted and demonstrated the required exercises?*

ATTEMPTED\_AND\_DEMONSTRATED\_RESPONSE (Yes / No): Yes

CODE\_FUNCTIONALITY

Guiding question: *Describe what is working and what is not working in your peer’s code.*

CODE\_FUNCTIONALITY\_RESPONSE: The code works correctly across all tasks. The layout is responsive, navigation is functional, and each component performs as expected.

SUBMISSION\_QUALITY\_AND\_CODE\_READABILITY

Guiding question: *Describe the quality of your peer’s submission: What is done well? What could be improved? How readable and clean is the code?*

SUBMISSION\_QUALITY\_AND\_CODE\_READABILITY\_ RESPONSE: The code is organized and easy to read. HTML structure is clear, and CSS is well-managed.

DEMONSTRATION\_AND\_EXPLANATION\_QUALITY

Guiding question: *Describe whether the demonstration and explanation are clear and easy to follow. Did your peer show good understanding of their work?*

DEMONSTRATION\_AND\_EXPLANATION\_QUALITY\_RESPONSE: His explanation was logical and easy to follow.

CONSTRUCTIVE\_COMMENTS

Guiding question: *Provide constructive suggestions to help your peer improve their work and professionalism. You may also include positive encouragement and note anything you learned.*

CONSTRUCTIVE\_COMMENTS\_RESPONSE: Overall is good! Maybe try some templates on Bootstrap.

**PEER\_REVIEW\_5**

REVIEWER\_NAME: Kevin Hsu  
REVIEWEE\_NAME: Jisu Choi

ATTEMPTED\_AND\_DEMONSTRATED

Guiding question: *Has your reviewee attempted and demonstrated the required exercises?*

ATTEMPTED\_AND\_DEMONSTRATED\_RESPONSE (Yes / No): Yes

CODE\_FUNCTIONALITY

Guiding question: *Describe what is working and what is not working in your peer’s code.*

CODE\_FUNCTIONALITY\_RESPONSE: The code performs all required functions smoothly.

SUBMISSION\_QUALITY\_AND\_CODE\_READABILITY

Guiding question: *Describe the quality of your peer’s submission: What is done well? What could be improved? How readable and clean is the code?*

SUBMISSION\_QUALITY\_AND\_CODE\_READABILITY\_ RESPONSE: The code is clean and organized.

DEMONSTRATION\_AND\_EXPLANATION\_QUALITY

Guiding question: *Describe whether the demonstration and explanation are clear and easy to follow. Did your peer show good understanding of their work?*

DEMONSTRATION\_AND\_EXPLANATION\_QUALITY\_RESPONSE: She clearly explained the work and demonstrated a strong understanding of the concepts.

CONSTRUCTIVE\_COMMENTS

Guiding question: *Provide constructive suggestions to help your peer improve their work and professionalism. You may also include positive encouragement and note anything you learned.*

CONSTRUCTIVE\_COMMENTS\_RESPONSE: You rock. Maybe try some personalized design next time.

**SECTION\_2**

Paste the full, original reviews from your group members below. Do not make any modification to the reviews you have received.

**REVIEW\_1**

REVIEWER\_NAME: Cedric Alain Jadin

REVIEWEE\_NAME: Kevin Hsu

ATTEMPTED\_AND\_DEMONSTRATED

Guiding question: Has your reviewee attempted and demonstrated the required exercises?

ATTEMPTED\_AND\_DEMONSTRATED\_RESPONSE (Yes / No): No

CODE\_FUNCTIONALITY

Guiding question: Describe what is working and what is not working in your peer’s code.

CODE\_FUNCTIONALITY\_RESPONSE: The required tasks were not attempted or demonstrated, so there was no code to evaluate.

SUBMISSION\_QUALITY\_AND\_CODE\_READABILITY

Guiding question: Describe the quality of your peer’s submission: What is done well? What could be improved? How readable and clean is the code?

SUBMISSION\_QUALITY\_AND\_CODE\_READABILITY\_ RESPONSE: No submission was available for review, so code readability and quality could not be assessed.

DEMONSTRATION\_AND\_EXPLANATION\_QUALITY

Guiding question: Describe whether the demonstration and explanation are clear and easy to follow. Did your peer show good understanding of their work?

DEMONSTRATION\_AND\_EXPLANATION\_QUALITY\_RESPONSE: The reviewee did not demonstrate any tasks, so there was no explanation to evaluate.

CONSTRUCTIVE\_COMMENTS

Guiding question: Provide constructive suggestions to help your peer improve their work and professionalism. You may also include positive encouragement and note anything you learned.

CONSTRUCTIVE\_COMMENTS\_RESPONSE: Please ensure that at least Tasks 2, 3, and 4 are attempted and demonstrated in future workshops. Preparing a working example will help you understand HTML and Bootstrap better and also help peers give useful feedback.

**REVIEW\_2**

[*Paste full feedback text here, including Reviewer and Reviewee’s name*]

**REVIEW\_3**

[*Paste full feedback text here, including Reviewer and Reviewee’s name*]

(Add additional reviews if you are assigned more than 3 reviewers)

**SECTION\_3**

Reflection\_on\_This\_Week’s\_Peer Feedback

LEARNING\_FROM\_EXERCISE

Guiding question: *What have you learned from completing this week’s exercise?*

LEARNING\_FROM\_EXERCISE\_RESPONSE: How to implement Bootstrap in html, responsive design and password setup.

FAIRNESS\_OF\_COMMENTS

Guiding question: *Do you think the reviewers’ comments are fair? Why or why not?*

FAIRNESS\_OF\_COMMENTS\_RESPONSE: Yes, it is fair. I was not able to finish my work.

USEFULNESS\_OF\_COMMENTS

Guiding question: *Were the reviewers’ comments useful? Why or why not?*

USEFULNESS\_OF\_COMMENTS\_RESPONSE:

IMPROVEMENT\_BASED\_ON\_FEEDBACK

Guiding question: *How will you use the reviewers’ comments to improve your work, process, or professionalism?*

IMPROVEMENT\_BASED\_ON\_FEEDBACK\_RESPONSE: [Enter your response here]